Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/483,672	XU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeff Hundley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 rejections		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed: GIMENO		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Mayorin a . Moran		,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that claims 65 and 74 were allowable and that claims 77-79 would be allowable if they depended only from claim 74. The attorney declined an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance and requested that an office action be mailed. The attorney and examiner disagreed as to whether GIMENO's protein does teach the polypeptide recited in claim 75.